



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Mad River Township
Champaign County
33 Neal Road
St. Paris, Ohio 43072

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Mad River Township (the Township) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The Township processes its financial transactions with the Auditor of State's Uniform Accounting Network (UAN). Government Auditing Standards considers this service to impair the independence of the Auditor of State to provide attest services to the Township because the Auditor of State designed, developed, implemented, and as requested, operates UAN. However, Government Auditing Standards permits the Auditor of State to perform this engagement, because Ohio Revised Code § 117.101 requires the Auditor of State to provide UAN services, and Ohio Revised Code § 117.11(A) mandates the Auditor of State to perform attest services for Ohio governments.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2009 beginning fund balances recorded in the *Fund Status Report* to the December 31, 2008 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2010 and 2009 fund cash balances reported in the *Fund Status Reports*. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2010 bank account balances with the Township's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2010 bank reconciliation:

One First National Plaza / 130 W. Second St. / Suite 2040 / Dayton, OH 45402
Telephone: (937) 285-6677 (800) 443-9274 Fax: (937) 285-6688
www.auditor.state.oh.us

Cash and Investments (Continued)

- a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 to determine that they:
- a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2010 and one from 2009:
- a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the *Receipt Register Report*. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
2. We scanned the *Receipt Register Report* to determine whether it included the proper number of tax receipts for 2010 and 2009:
- a. Two personal property tax receipts
 - b. Two real estate tax receipts

We noted the *Receipts Register Report* included the proper number of tax settlement receipts for each year.

3. We selected four receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2010 and three from 2009. We also selected five receipts from the County Auditor's Cross Reference Report from 2010 and five from 2009.
- a. We compared the amount from the DTL and the County Auditor's Cross Reference Report to the amount recorded in the *Receipt Register Report*. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior audit report, we noted the following note outstanding as of December 31, 2008. These amounts agreed to the Township's January 1, 2009 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2008:
Purchase of Backhoe	\$11,290

Debt (Continued)

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the *Receipt Register Report* and *Payment Register Detail Report* for evidence of debt issued during 2010 or 2009 or debt payment activity during 2010 or 2009. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of the note debt activity for 2009 and agreed principal and interest payment from the related debt amortization schedule to Motor Vehicle License Tax and Outside Road fund payments reported in the *Payment Register Detail Report*. We also compared the date the payment was due to the date the Township made the payment. We found no exception.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2010 and one payroll check for five employees from 2009 from the *Employee Detail Adjustment Report* and determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Funds to which the check should be charged.
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - e. Federal, State & School income tax withholding authorization and withholding.

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – e. above.

2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively approved rate). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2010 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2010. We noted the following:

Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Withheld	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare	January 31, 2011	January 20, 2011	\$ 818.95	\$ 818.95
State income taxes	January 15, 2011	December 31, 2010	\$ 232.20	\$ 232.20
OPERS retirement (with-holding plus employer share)	January 30, 2011	February 7, 2011	\$1,872.43	\$1,872.43

4. For the pay periods ended March 15, 2010 and August 29, 2009, we compared documentation and the re-computation supporting the allocation of Board salaries to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the *Payment Register Detail Report* for the year ended December 31, 2010 and ten from the year ended 2009 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the *Payment Register Detail Report* and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources*, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the *Revenue Status Report* for the General, Gasoline Tax and Outside Road funds for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. The amounts on the *Certificate* agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system, except for the General Fund. The *Revenue Status Report* recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General Fund of \$103,562 for 2010. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$104,075. The fiscal officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.
2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2010 and 2009 to determine whether, for the General, Road and Bridge, and Cemetery funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the *Appropriation Status Report* for 2010 and 2009 for the following funds: General, Outside Road, and Gasoline Tax funds. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the *Appropriation Status Report*.
4. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Gasoline Tax and Outside Road funds for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for the General, Fire District and Road and Bridge fund, as recorded in the *Appropriation Status Report*. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the *Receipt Register Report* for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2010 and 2009. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. The Township established the Miscellaneous Special Revenue fund during 2009 to segregate Federal Emergency Management Agency receipts and disbursements, in compliance with Section 5705.09 and 2 CFR Part 176.210.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

7. We scanned the 2010 and 2009 *Revenue Status Reports* and *Appropriation Status Reports* for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$5,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 -- .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the *Appropriation Status Reports* to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

1. We inquired of management and scanned the *Payment Register Detail Report* for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for procurements requiring competitive bidding under the following statutes:
 - a. Materials, machinery and tools used in constructing, maintaining and repairing roads and culverts, where costs exceeded \$25,000. (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21)
 - b. Construction and erection of a memorial building or monument costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 511.12)
 - c. Equipment for fire protection and communication costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 505.37 to 505.42)
 - d. Street lighting systems or improvement costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 515.07)
 - e. Building modification costs exceeding \$25,000 to achieve energy savings (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.264)
 - f. Private sewage collection tile costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 521.02 to 521.05)
 - g. Fire apparatus, mechanical resuscitators, other fire equipment, appliances, materials, fire hydrants, buildings, or fire-alarm communications equipment or service costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.37(A))
 - h. Maintenance and repair of roads exceeding \$45,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01)
 - i. Construction or reconstruction of a township road exceeding \$15,000/per mile (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01).

We identified a road paving contract for 2009 exceeding \$45,000 subject to Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01. For this project, we noted that the Board advertised the project in a local newspaper, and selected the lowest responsible bidder.

2. We inquired of management and scanned the *Payment Register Detail Report* for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 to determine if the township had road construction projects exceeding \$45,000 for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.
3. For the road maintenance project described in step 1 above, we read the contract and noted that it required the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. The contract included the Ohio Department of Commerce's schedule of prevailing rates.

Officials' Response:

In reference to the above mentioned Report, Page 4, Compliance-Budgetary, Item #1, I, Robin S. Hanlin, Fiscal Officer of Mad River Township, Champaign County, Ohio, offer the following response:

After receipt by Mad River Township, of the Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, Office of Budget Commission, Champaign County, Ohio, Urbana, Ohio, dated January 12, 2010*, the Mad River Township elected officials established the 2010 Revenue Budget, which included \$512.69 for Other-Miscellaneous Operating revenue in the General Fund.

On March 20, 2010 a letter was drafted to Karen Bailey, Champaign County Auditor*, requesting a revised Amended Certificate of Estimated Resources, from the Champaign County Budget Commission, for an increase in Mad River Township's General Fund in the amount of \$10,835.90 for Insurance Proceeds.

On March 22, 2010, an e-mail was sent to Karen Bailey, Champaign County Auditor*, asking for an amendment to the March 20th request, lowering the Amended Certificate request from \$10,835.90, to \$9,415.90, based on documentation received from the insurance company, which would lower the insurance proceeds check to \$9,415.90.

In April 2010, the Fiscal Officer received an Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources, Office of Budget Commission, Champaign County, Ohio, Urbana, Ohio, dated April 6, 2010*. The Amended Certificate reflected an increase in Other Sources in the General Fund of exactly \$10,835.90.

The Fiscal Officer opted to replace the Other-Miscellaneous Operating revenue budget with the \$10,835.90 provided by the Champaign County Budget Commission, which was higher than the revised amount requested, rather than add that figure to the existing \$512.69. The Fiscal Officer did not believe that Mad River Township would receive more than the \$10,835.90 figure provided by the Champaign County Budget Commission, and, therefore, acted in a fiscally conservative manner, by not adding that figure to the existing budget figure of \$512.69. In addition, the Fiscal Officer takes the position, that by adding the \$10,835.90 to the \$512.69, simply to make the Revenue Status Report match the Amended Certificate of Estimated Resources, would be a significant misrepresentation of anticipated revenues.

*Copies provided to State Auditor

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, Board of Trustees, and others within the Township and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

April 26, 2011



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

MAD RIVER TOWNSHIP

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
JUNE 7, 2011**